

**Item 20.****Parking - No Parking - Renwick Street, Redfern**

TRIM Container No.: 2022/506588

**Recommendations**

It is recommended that the Committee endorse the allocation of parking on the western side of Renwick Street, Redfern between the points 28 metres and 36.5 metres (one car space) south of Cleveland Street as "No Parking".

**Voting Members for this Item**

<b>Voting Members</b>	<b>Support</b>	<b>Object</b>
City of Sydney	[Insert]	[Insert]
Transport for NSW	[Insert]	[Insert]
NSW Police – South Sydney PAC	[Insert]	[Insert]
Representative for the Member for Newtown	[Insert]	[Insert]

**Advice**

Advice will be updated after the meeting.

**Background**

A resident of Cleveland Street, Redfern has requested consideration of "No Parking" in Renwick Street, to improve access to rear-lane garage.

**Comments**

The kerb space on the western side of Renwick Street, Redfern between Cleveland and James Street, where the changes are proposed, is currently unrestricted for parking.

However, there are a number of driveways along both sides of Renwick Street (including some residential driveways on the eastern side of the street).

It is illegal to park across a driveway or block access into a driveway. Therefore, to improve access to a particular rear-lane residential garage, it is proposed to signpost 8.5 metres of "No Parking" across an "existing" driveway on the western side of the street. This will enable access at all times to a residential driveway opposite this location on the eastern side of the street.

Renwick Street is approximately 4 metres wide and provides rear-lane property access to houses fronting Cleveland Street and Regent Street.

The NSW Road Rules 2014 prohibit drivers from parking at any time across a driveway, or in any other way which blocks access to a driveway. In narrow streets like Renwick Street, car parking opposite driveways obstruct or prevent vehicles from entering or exiting these driveways. Section 6 of the Roads Act 1993 gives adjoining land owners a right of vehicles access to the public road.

On-site inspection shows there is a need to provide a "No Parking" restriction to maintain rear-lane property access.

### **Consultation**

The City consulted local residents and businesses in the area. There were 224 letters sent out with [number] responses supporting the proposal and [number] responses opposing the proposal.

### **Financial**

Funds are available in the current budget.

**VICKI CALLEJA, ENGINEERING TRAFFIC OFFICER**